## THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF HER BRITANNIC MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT

The circulation of this paper has been strictly limited.

It is issued for the personal use of

TOP SECRET

Copy No. 100

### CIRCULATED FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF THE CHIEFS OF STAFF

JP(61)82(Firal)

14th July, 1961

HY EYES ONLY

CHIEFS OF STAFF COMMITTEE

JOINT PLANNING STAFF

#### BERLIN CONTINGENCY FLANNING BRIEF ON PLANS TO RESTORE ACCESS TO BERLIN

#### Report by the Joint Planning Staff

In accordance with the instructions of the Chief of the Defence Staff, we have prepared a brief setting out the United Kingdom views on the various LIVEOAK plans. The paper includes at Appendix 'A' a summary of the LIVEOAK plans, and at Appendix 'B' suggested answers to some questions which might be posed by the Americans.

2. In preparing the brief, which is at Annex, we have consulted the Foreign Office, the Ministry of Defence and the Joint Intelligence Staff.

#### Recommendation

 We recommend that, if they approve our brief, the Chiefs of Staff should authorize its use by their representative during any discussions on Berlin convingency planning with United States authorities.

(Signed) D.L. POWELL-JONES
T.B. ASHMORE
E.V.H. STRICKLAND

D.C. STAPLETON.

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, S.W.1.

## UK EYES ONLY

Annex to JP(61)82(Final)

## AIM OF OPERATIONS

LIVEOAK recognizes\* that no military action could by itself re-open access to Berlin if the Russians were determined to regist. The aim of all operations after the initial probe is, therefore, to provide circumstances in which negotiations might prove fruitful and to compel the Russians to face the unmistakable imminence of general war.

## PLANS FOR GROUND ACCESS OPERATIONS

#### The Initial Probe (FREESTYLE)

 This is a tripartite military probe solely to determine whether the Russians would use or permit the use of force to prevent passage. The plan covers three alternative courses of nation, to be decided at the time, ranging from a small unarmed convoy to one supported by troops of about company strength and the force would attempt to withdraw if errored or obstructed.

#### UK View

We support this operation ..

## Battalion Group Operation (TRADE WIND)

This is a tripartite operation which would be mounted only after the initial probe had shown Russian/GDR intention to obstruct access by force, and would be backed by the threat of nuclear operations.

#### "K View

We do not believe" that tripartite land operations on a battalion or higher level would either convince the Russians of our determination or achieve the object of maintaining account o Berlin.

We commented to General Norstad that this operation should 4. We commented to General Norstad that this operation should only be undertaken when NATO was fully prepared for global war. In December, 1959, United Wingdom approval was given for C-in-C-BAOR to make detailed plans without commitment. Subsequently War Office examination of CINCBAOR's plan showed that TRADE WIND could not be repared as a cound military operation, mainly because of the case with which it could be blocked fore and aft by demolition and thus placed in an isnominious position from which extrication would be difficult (there are forty-eight bridges between the frontier and Borlin). We accepted this view and informed the Minister of Defence that the operation could only result in a military disaster, but decided that it

- C06(59)199 C08(59)175 C08.773/23/6/61 C08.1205/23/9/59
- SECSHAF COS (60)213

UK EYES ONLY

TOP SECRET

## UK EYES ONLY

Annex (Continued)

would be undesirable so to inform General Norstad officially Subarquently, however, the Chief of the Defence Staff did expressions doubts to him. We have now signified egreement that training should be carried out and CINCBAOR has suggested, to General Norstad that it should take place at Sennelager in late September or October this year.

## Division Size Operation

5. When considering on operation on divisional scale, the original LIVEOAK Study concluded that, since it would be militarily ludicrous to confine such a force to the autobahn. violation of territory covered by the Warsaw Pact would be involved; further, the removal of a force of this size from MATO would also have serious effects on the shield; finally natu would also have serious effects on the shield; finally, the logistic difficulties would be sufficient to eliminate this ides from further consideration. Notwithstanding these conclusions, General Norstad, in August 1960, requested permission to plan for the use of a force of divisional size. We considered that a divisional operation would be even more unsound then a battalion one and asked that LivsDAK should first undertake a focability stwdy. This study, on which General Norstak has based his instructions to CHECHAOR to plan for the use of a divison-size force, visualized the following possible missions:-

- (a) To provide additional cyldence of our determination to meintain ground access to Berlin, by its presence west of nelmatedt, before and during the TRADE WIND operation.
- (b) After TRADE WIND has achieved its first objective, by providing circumstances in which negotiations might prove fruitful, to take physical control of vulnerable points on the ground access route and to escort surface traffic. While negotiations are in progress, to support and maintain the TRADE WIND force in position and to provide cover for its disengagement.

General Norstad has suggested that nuclear weapons may need to be provided in support but has test the detailed composition of this force to CITCBAOR.

UK View

The merit of this particular concept is that, without violating GDR territory (i.e. by "picketting the route"), it could provide the TRADE WIND force with the hacking it would need to provent the nutobahr being cut without Russian/Our reserve to force. It is claimed that it would provide ovidence of a firm decision to maintain access by ground as well as by mir, and that it would also help to maintain the Western military position while any negotiations were in progress. We believe that it would not appear convincing unless accompanied by Western mobilization and readings for war, which in fact it would

> CO3(60)50th Mtg. COG. 1467/9/11/60 GESEC 28 COS (59)199 COS, 1242/22/9/60 Annex to COS. 29/6/1/61 Appendix to COS, 182/10/2/61

UK BY IS ONLY OP SECRET

## UK EYES ONLY

## Annex (Continued)

significantly impair; further, such operations could be contained in circumstances which would either force the West to fire first or bring them to an ignominious halt, and would not necessarily re-open access or contribute anything to the viability of Derlin.

## AIR ACCE S PLANS

6: Tripartite plans (JNCK PINS) provide for air transport operations to cover a civil airlift, a garrison airlift, a combination of the foregoing, the evacuation of allied non-combitants and scleeted allens, and air tactical operations. There is also a quadripartite plan (GBAL) for the supply by air of Berlin.

## UK View

We have agreed to those plane, the United Kingdom contribution for which is within our capability.

## Fcasibility of Plans

- 7. Air/ground communications and navigation side for these operations are vulnerable to Electronic Counter Measures (ECM), especially so in the claquastances of an airlift, when they could be attacked both from the ground and from the air at very short range. Only in the case of VIF ground/air communications is there a specific counter-countermeasure, in the form of high-power transmitters which could be used for brondeast control. There are, however, large numbers of aids and communications channels and the circraft fit varies between types. The denial of all aids and communications channels would require a major and custained Russian ECM effort. The Yest Germans consider that altern tive routings will provide adequate point-to-point communications.
- 8. Sorius and sustained at etronic countermeasures could reduce our rate of effort considerably during but weather and at night. On this account, airlift force requirements have been geared to operations in visual or momental weather conditions (averaging 20 days out of 30) to guarantee the success of the airlift in the face of Russian jamming.

## EFF OCT ON THE RUSSIANS

9. A factor which would weight heavily with the Soviet Government would be their nascamment of the staunchness of western public opinion in support of their governments policy. If the operations were not preceded by a general mobilization of NATO they would proisably accide that the West was bluffing and was not prepared to accept the ultimate risk of global war. In this case they would exploit the West's action accordingly. They would manocive us, so far as ground operations are concerned, into the position of having to be the first to shoot five wished to proceed? and would then oppose the operation by such conventional military. Force as was accessary, which would not be much meanwhite denouncing us as aggressor.

# JIC(60)40 # JIC(61)42

> - 4 -UK EYS ONLY

TOP SECRET

## UK EYES ONLY

## Annex (Concluded)

10. The LIVEOAK plans are such that it would be difficult to convince the Russiens of our determination and we could not hope to do so unless the operation was seen to be preceded by full scale (and popularly accepted) preparations for war mobilization, with all the disruption to national economics involved. The danger of miscalculation would then be at its greatest.

#### SUGGESTED LINE TO BE TAKEN

11. The merit of air operations, as opposed to ground operations, is that, if successful, they contribute directly to the
material support of Berlin and cannot be stopped by any means
short of overt aggressive action. Any such attack would lend
support to the Western position and could be used to justify
whatever subsequent measures might be decided upon. We therefore maintain our view that one the initial land probe
(FRIESTYLE) has been tried, nirlift operations provide the best
hope of re-opening access to Berlin.

12. However, we believe that MATO readings for war ought to precede all action other than an airlift, after FRESTILE. If we went so far, the ricks of war would be grave indeed. If we are not prepared to face these ricks, the LIVEOAK ground access plans cubscaucat to FRESTYLE are militarily unjustifiable. If we are prepared for these ricks, this is not the best way to face them.

## UK EYES ONLY

# Appendix 'A' to Annex to JP(61)82(Final)

## BERLIN CONTINGENCY PLANNING

## SUMMARY OF PLANS TO RESTORE ACCESS TO BERLIN

## GROUND ACCESS PLANS

#### The Initial Probe (Operation FREESTYLE)

t. This is a tripartite military operation in the form of a probe along the autobahm to determine whether the Russlams would use or permit the use of force to prevent Allied access to Berlin. The force would be organized on a tripartite basis and would be capable of three courses of action, to be selected in the light of circumstances at the time. CINCBAOR is the field commander designate and responsible for the detailed planning.

Course A. An unarmed test convoy of four vehicles which would attempt passage. If obstructed, the convoy would withdraw.

Course B. An unarmed test convey of three vehicles supported by armed troops. If obstructed, the convey would after due whrning, attempt to remove the obstruction. If foreibly obstructed, it would withdraw. Fire would only be opened in self-defence.

Course C. An unarmed test convey of three vehicles supported by armed troops of about company birongth, armoured cere and engin or equipment to surmount obstacles. If obstructed, the convey would, after due warning, attempt to curmount any obstacle within its capability. If foreibly obstructed, the convey would withdraw under such fire from the armoured cars as might be necessary to disense.

#### Battalion Group Operation (Operation TRADE WIND)

- 2. LIVEOAK considers that a tripartite bettalion group operation along the autobahn would represent a serious military effort or foreopen access in that it would be capable of maintaining contact with enemy forces which blocked its path or attacked it, and could not enable be capalifed. CINEDAR is the field commander designate and responsible for detailed planning.
- 3. The battalion group, organized on a tripartite basis and including tanks, armoured care, artillery and engineer support, would proceed from Helmatett to Berlin along the autobahn, aurmounting on route an many obstacles as its resources permitted. The actual method of oper tion would depend on factors which care foully be determined at the time. However, the following guidance

+ COS(59)173 + COS(60)187 + COS(59)199

UK TY'S ONLY

3.7, 1.27

COP SECRE

#### UK EYES ONLY

## Appendix 'A' (Continued)

is laid down for training and proparation:-

- (a) The force would be prepared to fight Russian/GDR forces if necessary.
- (b) The force would not open fire until fired on.
- (c) Russian/GDR use of firepower to close the autobahn would be met by maximum aggressive action within the resources of the force.
- 4: The Battalion Group would be assisted by air reconnaissance and, should it be attacked, Allied aircraft would also provide the necessary close air support to provide addespagement.

#### Division Size Operation

- 5. General Norstad, although not prepared to give precise instructions, envisages the following possible missions for a tripertite division-size force:-
  - (a) Prior to and during the TRADT WIND operation, provide additional evidence, by its presence in the area West of Helmstedt, of our determination to maintain ground access to Berlin.
  - (b) After TRADE WIND has achieved its first objective, i.e. has prowided circumstances in which negotiations might prove fruitful:-
    - (1) Take physical control of vulnerable points on the ground access route and accert surface traffic.
    - (ii) Support and maintain the TRADE WIND force in position while negotiations are in progress.
    - (iii) Provide cover for the disengagement of the TRADE WIND force.
- 6. In his instructions to CINCEAGR who is responsible for detailed planning, General Norstad also included the following guidance on the composition and organization of the force:-
  - (a) It should be of approximately division size, e.g. 10,000 to 12,000.
  - (b) It should be capable of a variety of missions.
  - (c) Planning consideration should include the use of testical nuclear weapons in support, though General Norstad would retain direct control of their unc.
  - (d) The personnel to be provided by the three countries should be approximately equal in number.
  - (e) Apart from air reconnaissance, combat air support should be restricted to supporting disengagement, aithough it should be readily evailable.

Appendix to COS. 182/10/2/61

UK TYTS ONLY

#### UK TYES ONLY

#### Appendix 'A' (Continued)

- (f) Consideration should be given to including a light alrlift capability in a Mitton to liaison aircraft.
- (g) The TRADE WIND Battalion may be included as part of the division.

#### AIR ACCESS PLANS

7. Tripartite air secess plans, grouped under the code name "MOKC PIRE", provide for air transport operations to cover a garrison sirilft, a civil airlift, a combination of the foregoing, the ovacuation of Allied non-combetants and selected aliens and air tactical operations decianed to maintain air secess through the corridors to Berlin. There is also a quadripartite plan for the cupply by air of Berlin. Operational control would be centralized under CIMCUSAFE.

#### Air Transport Operations

- 8. Garrison Arlift (DARK ROTTLE). Provides for the logistic cupport of the Berlin garrisons by air should military surface traffic be interrupted. Each nation would be responsible for its own element. The UK daily commitment would be 5 MRT corties, allowing for operations, taking place only in visual or marginal weather conditions.
- 9. <u>Ciril Airlift (BROKEN BOTTLE</u>). Provides for the substitution of civil by military aircraft to maintain air services to Berlin should scheduled commorcial flights cesso. The task would be shared equally between the Three Powers, the UK daily commitment being 9 MRT sortion.
- 10. <u>Garrison/Civil Airlift (DOUBLE BOTTLE)</u>. A combination of thece two airlifts, for which the UK daily commitment would be 12 MRT sorties.
- 11. Air Evacuation of Non-Combatante (TRIPLE PLAY). Provides for the air ovacuation of Allied non-combatants and selected aliens from Berlin. The Three Powers have agreed to carry out the operation simultaneously, but with the reserved right to take unilateral action, subject to prior notice. The UK commitment would be a total of 33 Britannia morties, which could be reduced by the backlift of aircraft engaged in other JACK PING operations if these were in progress.
- 12. Quadripertite Berlin Airlift QRAL(TUMBLE). Provides for the sir supply of Berlin, with the Triportite powers providing the sirlift and Weet Germeny providing the carge, airfield facilities and logistic/sdminintrative support. The UK contribution would be met by 12 MRT sirerift (plus 7 in reserve) from Transport Command and 30 aircraft from civil charter.
- 43. Air Tactical Operations (SHARP LAMCE). Those are tripartite operations by inclical aircraft, following interference with transport aircraft, to make unmistakely clear that the Three Powers are determined to maintain their right of air access within the corridors to Berlin and compol the Russians to face the imminence of war should they purelst in obstructing access.

## HK EYES ONLY

## Appendix 'A' (Concluded)

Under this concept, transport aircraft would fly in the corridors in accordance with existing procedures and fighter aircraft would provide either direct support, except or cover within corridors, or indirect support by patrol at the Western ends of the corridors. The UK could meet this tetteal air commitments during 1961 by the fighter squadrons in Germany-reinforced by one Javelin squadron from Fighter Command. After the withdrawal of the fighter appuredons from Germany, planned for end-1961, Fighter Command would have to provide all the aircraft for the British contribution; this may be some progressively more difficult.

UK TY TS ONLY

\_\_\_\_\_

#### Appendix 'B' to Annex to JF(61)82(Final)

## SUGGESTED ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS WHICH HIGHT BE RAISED BY THE AMERICANS

Q.1.

You seem to assume that the TRADE WIND force will be obstructed or cut off. Is it not possible that this demonstration of determined action will be allowed free passage?

A.t.

We accept that the Russians or CDR might let an armod or cocorted force peas. However, such passage would achieve nothing permanent, would prove nothing conclusive about the Russian intentions and merely gets the force at the wrong end of the autobahn. If the Russians or GDR understood, as is probable, that this was a battalion force and not a supply convoy they might well allow it to pass, but this would not help to get supply convoys through.

2.2.

Could not a TRADE WIND force deal with any obstruction without fighting?

A.2.

The ability to deal with obstacles will depend upon the coals of engineer effort in the force. (It may be argued that the Russians/ODR are unlikely to destroy autobahn bridges and thereby permunently obstruct civilian traffic and alienate world opinion). However, even if they can deal with obstructions without righting, this will not by itself guarantee autobahn access to subsequent supply convoys.

2.3.

If you don't thin! the TRAD: WIND force is indequate, what caze of force do you suggest? (or - Don't you think one or two divisions in support of TRADE WIND would achieve the object?)

Λ.3.

 Whatever the size of force, and the larger the more rikely it would be to provoke hostilities, it could not guarantee access if the enemy did not wish it to.

9.4.

Don't you see the need for some intermediate operation between the initial probe and the threat of all-out war?

A.4.

In our view the ultimate threat of all-out war must be clorely evident from the time the initial probe has failed. We see any intermediate ground operation resulting either in military defeat for the force involved or manifest failure to achieve any lasting adventage with obvious propaganda gain to the Russians and diminution of the credibility of the ultimate threat.

- 10 -UK TY 'S ONLY

#### UK TY S CHLY

## Appendix 'B' (Concluded)

9.5.

What if an airlift starts in November or December when the weether factor does not allow contact flying for 20 days out of

A.5.

I agree that if a QBAL airlift starts in late autumn there might be a shortfall for the first month or two. The stocks in Borlin are such that a slow start should be acceptable provided the required average rate of supply over a period of six months is schicovd. This should allow time to bring United Nations and world opinion to bear in persuading the Russians to abandon their obstruction.

Q.6.

What if the Russians obstruct an airlift by means other than ECM, e.g. by balloons, by air activity in the landing approaches or corridors, or by smoke generation around the Berlin airfields?

A.6.

Most possible forms of air obstruction have already been considered by the appropriate experts, who have suggested action to overcome them. In general, we must be prepared to lose aircraft by an overtly aggressive action which would be tantamount to chooking down.

# cos.1003/50/7/59

UK TYTS OILY

TOP SECRET